

CLERK: Mr. President, your committee on Labor, to whom was referred LB 59, instructs me to report the same to the Legislature with the recommendation it be indefinitely postponed. Mr. President, Revenue gives notice of a cancellation of a hearing on LB 502, for the reason that 522 is not before the Committee. Signed, Senator Keyes, Chairman. That is all, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: We go to General File. LB 102.

CLERK: Read title to LB 103. The committee amendments have been adopted. An amendment offered by Senator Moylan has been adopted. There are amendments offered by Senator Kelly which were printed in the Journal. Portion one of that amendment he has withdrawn. Portion two of that amendment, starting on line 15, is presently pending before the Legislature. This is on page 435 of the Journal.

PRESIDENT: Chair recognizes Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY: Mr. President, members of the Legislature. Inadvertantly, when we started on these amendments I mis-spoke asking for the division of the question, when I fully intended to ask for separation of the question. I respectfully ask unanimous consent for separation of the question inlieu of division of the question.

PRESIDENT: Is there any objection to Senator Kelly's request? Seeing none it shall be considered as a separation of the question rather than division of the question.

SENATOR KELLY: Mr. President, separation number two on the amendments printed on page 435 of the Journal makes sure that what goes on in generic drugs continues to go on in Nebraska, namely the monogramming of each item of pharmaceutical, an expiration date printed on the label in the literature of the generic drug, that the manufacturer has a return procedure in place, and that the manufacturer has recall capability. These are all good quality medicine precautions. I certainly urge your adoption of the separation of the amendment.

PRESIDENT: Senator Fowler.

SENATOR FOWLER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature. I have no real objection to this particular Kelly amendment. From all that I can determine this is three of the four things that he lists are already required by the Food and Drug Administration. The fourth seems to be a matter of general practice. It may be a little redundant to put this in the bill, but I really guess that it would not jeopardize the bill to accept this amendment. I would not oppose just this particular amendment.

PRESIDENT: Senator Clark.

SENATOR CLARK: Mr. President, members. I'd certainly support this amendment. It's probably the only amendment I would support on here. I've talked to Rex Hagley about it and were this turned down by the Legislature he could not put it in rules and regs because he would assume that that would go against the intent of the Legislature. Certainly I think he'd want monograms on the pills, which this particular amendment does. I would support it also.